

CUGR Fellowship Project Rubric

	(1) It is unclear what is being proposed	(2) Poorly written, omissions, vague, unmanageable objectives, little chance for success	(3) Not clear, verbose, field-specific jargon, not clear the project is innovative	(4) Hard to follow, processes unclear, objectives do not seem entirely manageable, likelihood of success is questionable	(5) Description is adequate though lacks clarity, is not concise, needs general picture	(6) Description is somewhat clear, is somewhat concise, processes appear mostly manageable, has moderate likelihood of success	(7) Description is mostly clear and generally easy to understand, processes appear mostly manageable, project contains some original aspects	(8) Description is clear and generally easy to understand, no jargon, logical plan for manageable execution of project, project contains some original and innovative aspects, has a moderately high likelihood of success	(9) Description is clear and concise, logical plan for manageable execution of project, contains original and innovative aspects, has a high likelihood of success	(10) Description is clear, concise, and easy to understand; processes are well stated, manageable and comprehensive; contains significant original and innovative aspects; has the highest likelihood of success
Abstract										
** Research Objectives										
Importance to the Field										
** How Project will be Accomplished										
How project fits into Applicant's Academic Program										

Notes:

****Questions 2 and 4 will be weighted twice as much as other questions.**

Budget and Budget Justification Rubric

(1)	Budget is unreasonable in all areas. Costs are not justified in the budget narrative. Many costs are not relevant and essential to this project.	(2)	Budget is not clearly explained <i>and</i> it is not appropriate for the activities proposed. Budget is not comprehensive and reasonable. Some costs are not justified, relevant, or essential.	(3)	Budget is comprehensive and reasonable but not clearly explained. Most costs are justified, relevant and essential to this project.	(4)	Budget is comprehensive, clearly explained, and reasonable. Vast majority of costs are justified, relevant and essential to this project.	(5)	Budget is comprehensive, clearly explained, and appropriate for the activities proposed. All costs are justified, relevant and essential.
6. Budget (Appropriateness & Justification)									
(1)	Timeline is not suitable for the activities described.	(2)	Timeline appears to meet less than half of the activities proposed. Not clearly presented.	(3)	Timeline meets most of the activities proposed. Timeline may not be clearly presented.	(4)	Timeline may not be clearly presented but appears to be suitable for all the activities described.	(5)	Timeline is clearly presented and is clearly suitable for and meets all the activities described.
7. Timeline (Clarity & Suitability)									
(1)	Lack of faculty commitment letter	(2)	The letter is missing both student potential and faculty commitment	(3)	The letter states faculty commitment but is lacking student potential	(4)	The letter states student potential but is lacking faculty commitment	(5)	The letter clearly states both student potential and faculty commitment
8. Faculty Commitment Letter									

Comments for committee:

Comments/feedback for student applicant: